

Maxim > Design Support > Technical Documents > Tutorials > A/D and D/A Conversion/Sampling Circuits > APP 1040 Maxim > Design Support > Technical Documents > Tutorials > Basestations/Wireless Infrastructure > APP 1040 Maxim > Design Support > Technical Documents > Tutorials > High-Speed Signal Processing > APP 1040

Keywords: coherent sampling, window sampling, high-speed, data converters, analog-to-digital, analogue-to-digital, A to D, A/D, converters, ADCs

TUTORIAL 1040

Coherent Sampling vs. Window Sampling

Mar 29, 2002

Abstract: One of the most useful techniques for evaluating the dynamic performance of fast and ultra-fast data converters is coherent sampling. This technique increases the spectral resolution of a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and eliminates the need for window sampling when certain conditions are met. However, if the conditions for coherent sampling cannot be met, window sampling can be used. The following application note compares coherent sampling with window sampling and provides an explanation of how to evaluate high-speed analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) using either method, while detailing the advantages and disadvantages of each.

Also See:

- Application note 3190, "Coherent Sampling Calculator (CSC)"
- Coherent Sampling Calculator (XLS, 81K)

One of the most useful techniques for evaluating the dynamic performance of high-speed analog-todigital converters (ADCs) is coherent sampling, a method that increases the spectral resolution of an FFT and eliminates the need for window sampling when certain conditions are met. However, if those conditions are not easily met, window sampling can be used. This article compares coherent sampling with window sampling and explains how to evaluate high-speed ADCs using either method, while detailing the advantages and disadvantages of each.

What Defines Coherent Sampling?

Coherent sampling describes the sampling of a periodic signal, where an integer number of its cycles fit into a predefined sampling window. Mathematically, this can be expressed as:

 $\label{eq:stable} \begin{array}{l} f_{\text{IN}}/f_{\text{SAMPLE}} = N_{\text{WINDOW}}/N_{\text{RECORD}}, \\ f_{\text{IN}}: \mbox{ Periodic input signal} \\ f_{\text{SAMPLE}}: \mbox{ Sampling/clock frequency of the ADC under test} \\ N_{\text{WINDOW}}: \mbox{ Integer number of cycles}^1 \mbox{ within the sampling window} \\ N_{\text{RECORD}}: \mbox{ Number of data points in the sampling window or FFT} \end{array}$



Figure 1. The results for a coherently sampled waveform. Given that N_{WINDOW}/N_{RECORD} is irreducible, and N_{RECORD} is a power of 2, an odd number for N_{WINDOW} will always produce an irreducible² ratio in the FFT analysis.m The conditions for coherent sampling were met by choosing $f_{IN} = 25.0544433MHz$, $f_{SAMPLE} = 82MHz$, $N_{RECORD} = 8192$, $N_{WINDOW} = 2503$. The input test tone was computed by using a tone (25MHz) close to the desired frequency, calculating the resulting value for $N_{WINDOW} = f_{IN}/f_{SAMPLE}$ $x N_{RECORD}$, and choosing a prime number (best choice to ensure an irreducibility) close to the calculated value for N_{WINDOW} . The closest prime number in this case is 2503. With the prime number determined, the input frequency can be calculated by $f_{IN} = N_{WINDOW}/N_{RECORD} \times f_{SAMPLE}$ with N_{WINDOW} representing the selected prime number.

Performing an FFT assumes that a waveform is continuously sampled from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. If one of the above mentioned conditions for coherent sampling is not met, non-coherent sampling³ occurs. Unless window sampling ("windowing") -multiplying a sampled waveform by the mathematical expression describing the window- is used to compensate for waveform discontinuance⁴, spectral leakage is inevitable.



Figure 2. This FFT plot shows the effects of spectral leakage caused by non-coherent sampling. Although, the test conditions ($f_{SAMPLE} = 82MHz$ and $N_{RECORD} = 8192$) were chosen to be identical with those in Figure 1, f_{IN} was changed to 25.2245000MHz in Figure 2. Such a minor change in frequency offsets N_{WINDOW} to an even number (2520), which clearly violates the rules for coherent sampling and causes spectral leakage.

Spectral Leakage and Windowing

When the input signal does not entirely fit into the defined sample window, synchronous sampling of the input signal can be used to eliminate spectral leakage problems that would otherwise ensue. Spectral leakage distorts the digitized result by spreading the energy of any given frequency component across adjacent frequency bins (the size of each frequency bin = f_{SAMPLE}/N_{RECORD}). Using windowing, and selecting an appropriately sized window, minimizes the effects of spectral leakage.

Windowing the input data is equivalent to convolving the spectrum of the original signal with the spectrum of the window. Although it is often assumed that no window is used for coherent sampling, in actuality, the input signal is convolved with a rectangular-shaped window of uniform height.

The frequency characteristic of a window is a continuous spectrum consisting of a main lobe and several side lobes. The main lobe is centered at the frequency of the input signal. Side lobes approach zero progressing from each side of the main lobe. An FFT, on the other hand, produces a discrete frequency spectrum. The continuous, periodic spectrum of a window is sampled by the FFT, just as an ADC would sample an input signal in the time domain. What appears in each frequency line of the FFT is the value of the continuous convolved spectrum at each FFT frequency component.

If the frequency components of the original signal match a frequency line exactly, as is the case when acquiring an integer number of cycles, only the main lobe of the spectrum can be seen. Side-lobes will not appear since the window spectrum approaches zero at bin-frequency intervals on either side of the main lobe. If a time record does not contain an integer number of cycles, the continuous spectrum of the

window is shifted from the main lobe center by a fraction of the frequency bin. This corresponds to the difference between the frequency component and the frequency lines in the FFT spectrum. This shift causes side-lobes to appear in the spectrum. Therefore, the side-lobe characteristics of a given window directly affect the extent to which adjacent frequency components "leak into" neighboring frequency bins.

Window Characteristics and Window Selection

Before choosing the appropriate window, it is necessary to define the parameters that enable users to compare windows (**Table 1**). Such characteristics include a window's -3dB and -6dB main-lobe widths, the maximum side-lobe amplitude, and the side-lobe roll-off rate. Side lobes of a given window are identified by two main characteristics:

- 1. The largest side-lobe level in decibels, with respect to the main lobe peak.
- 2. Side-lobe roll-off, which is defined as the asymptotic decay rate of the side-lobe peaks.

Table 1 displays parameters for popular window functions, typically used to test the dynamic performance of high-speed ADCs under non-coherent sampling conditions.

Window Type	-3dB Main-Lobe Width	-6dB Main-Lobe Width	Maximum Side-Lobe Level	Side-Lobe Roll-Off Rate
No Window (Rectangular)	0.89 bins	1.21 bins	-13.2dB	20dB/decade 6dB/octave
Hamming	1.3 bins	1.81 bins	-41.9dB	20dB/decade 6dB/octave
Hanning	1.44 bins	2 bins	-31.6dB	60dB/decade 18dB/octave
Blackman	1.68 bins	2.35 bins	-58dB	60dB/decade 18dB/octave

Table 1.

Different windows support different applications, and choosing the right one is not an easy task. Given that the signal contains strong interfering frequency components distant from the frequency of interest, a window with a high roll-off rate (e.g., a Hanning window) for the side-lobes should be chosen. However, if strong interfering signals are close to the frequency of interest, a window with a rather small maximum side-lobe level (e.g., a Flat Top window) is the more suitable choice. A waveform with adjacent components of identical magnitude is analyzed best when left within a rectangular window or no window at all. For a single-tone test in which the focus is on amplitude accuracy rather than its precise location in the frequency bin, a window with a wide main lobe (e.g., a Blackman window) is recommended.



Figure 3. "Improved" FFT plot for a non-coherently sampled input signal (for test conditions, see Figure 2) convolved with a Hanning window.

Conclusion

By looking at the previously mentioned examples, it is clear that choosing an appropriate window function for a given application/waveform is indeed difficult. Depending on application, signal content, FFT spectrum components and characteristics of interest, the choice of window will always be a compromise between spectral leakage minimization, frequency/amplitude precision, side-lobe reduction, and main-lobe width increase.

Notes:

- 1. N_{WINDOW} must be a power of 2 to allow the use of a radix
- 2. FFT analysis.
- 3. An irreducible ratio ensures identical code sequences not to be repeated multiple times. Unnecessary repetition of the same code is not desirable as it increases ADC test time.
- 4. ADCs are usually characterized for and tested with sinusoidal input signals. Non-coherent sampling for sinusoidal input signals means that the first and the last sample of the input sinusoid are discontinuous with one another.
- 5. Waveform discontinuance describes an input signal, for which an integer number of its cycles do not fit into a predefined window.

Related Parts		
MAX1180	Dual 10-Bit, 105Msps, 3.3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1181	Dual 10-Bit, 80Msps, 3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1182	Dual 10-Bit, 65Msps, +3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1183	Dual 10-Bit, 40Msps, 3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1184	Dual 10-Bit, 20Msps, +3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1185	Dual 10-Bit, 20Msps, +3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Multiplexed Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1186	Dual 10-Bit, 40Msps, 3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Multiplexed Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1190	Dual 10-Bit, 120Msps, 3.3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1191	Ultra-Low-Power, 7.5Msps, Dual, 8-Bit ADC	Free Samples
MAX1192	Ultra-Low-Power, 22Msps, Dual 8-Bit ADC	Free Samples
MAX1193	Ultra-Low-Power, 45Msps, Dual 8-Bit ADC	Free Samples
MAX1195	Dual, 8-Bit, 40Msps, 3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1196	Dual 8-Bit, 40Msps, 3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Multiplexed Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1197	Dual, 8-Bit, 60Msps, 3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1198	Dual, 8-Bit, 100Msps, 3.3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference and Parallel Outputs	Free Samples
MAX1206	12-Bit, 40Msps ADC	
MAX1207	12-Bit, 65Msps ADC	
MAX1208	12-Bit, 80Msps, 3.3V ADC	Free Samples
MAX1209	12-Bit, 80Msps, 3.3V IF-Sampling ADC	Free Samples
MAX1211	12-Bit, 65Msps, IF Sampling ADC	
MAX1444	10-Bit, 40Msps, 3.0V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference	Free Samples
MAX1446	10-Bit, 60Msps, 3.0V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference	Free Samples

MAX1448	10-Bit, 80Msps, Single 3.0V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference	Free Samples
MAX1449	10-Bit, 105Msps, Single +3.3V, Low-Power ADC with Internal Reference	Free Samples

More Information

For Technical Support: http://www.maximintegrated.com/support For Samples: http://www.maximintegrated.com/samples Other Questions and Comments: http://www.maximintegrated.com/contact

Application Note 1040: http://www.maximintegrated.com/an1040 TUTORIAL 1040, AN1040, AN 1040, APP1040, Appnote1040, Appnote 1040 Copyright © by Maxim Integrated Products Additional Legal Notices: http://www.maximintegrated.com/legal